

13 October 2010

Mr J D Petters  
218 New House Farm  
Spalding  
PE12 9EN

Dear Mr Petters,

**Re: EMAIL MESSAGE 4 OCTOBER 2010**

In response to your email of 4 October, this is not being considered under the auspices the Freedom of Information Act because the nature of your enquiry does not fall within the prescribed criteria:

[http://www.ico.gov.uk/for\\_the\\_public/official\\_information/how\\_access.aspx](http://www.ico.gov.uk/for_the_public/official_information/how_access.aspx)

I have viewed the various videos to which you allude in your previous emails. I understand some of the objectives, however I fail to see that the experiments show the type of installation intended or indeed reasonably expected by the manufacturer. For example, the use of electrical wiring to form an antenna by winding it around a garden fence and spanning to and up a metal mast (which might of itself have a conductive effect) certainly would not in our view be something that would be expected under the likely intended use.

This product is intended for use in a domestic or small business environment to facilitate connectivity within a single network.

I would reiterate Ofcom's view that using PLT apparatus as intended by, and in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions does not amount to wireless telegraphy.

In arriving at this conclusion I fully acknowledge your representations relating to the wording contained in the Wireless Telegraphy Act 2006. Transmission through the electrical wires in a home is not "wireless" transmission in our view.

Having delivered a response to this element of your query, clearly the matter of licensing becomes redundant.

I have endeavoured to respond accurately and in a timely manner to your many e-mails on this matter and I now consider this issue closed.

Yours sincerely

Clive Corrie